Jet Studies at HERA





Hans-Christian Schultz-Coulon
Universität Dortmund

ISMD 2000, Tihany, Hungary
11. October 2000

Contents of the Talk



A

- test of pQCD
- α_s determination
- determination of $g(x)$

B

- scale problem
- forw. jets
  - BFKL, CCFM
  - virtual γ-struc-

C

- real photon structure

Dijet Kinematics



The Breit Frame

Born process | boson-gluon-fusion | QCD-Compton



Large E_t
only for $O(\alpha_s)$

Breit frame: $2x_{Bj}\,\vec{P} + \vec{q} = 0$







Born process

boson-gluon fusion

Inclusive Jet Cross Section



$$\sigma^{pert}_{jet} = \sum_n \alpha_s^n \left(\sum_{i=g,q} C_{i,n} \otimes pdf_i \right)$$

$$\sigma_{jet} = \sigma^{pert}_{jet} \cdot (1 + \delta_{hadr.\,corr.})$$

Hadronization Corrections <10 %

Sensitivity to strong coupling constant α_s

α_s Result from Inclusive Jets

$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1186 \pm 0.0030$ ← exp.

$^{+0.0039}_{-0.0045}$ ← theo.

$^{+0.0033}_{-0.0023}$ ← PDF



H1:

From fit to
incl. jet cross section
using CTEQ5M1 PDFs

α_s Result from R_{2+1}





$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1166 \; {}^{+0.0039}_{-0.0047} \; {}^{+0.0055}_{-0.0042} \; {}^{+0.0012}_{-0.0011}$$

exp.: $+0.0039$ / -0.0047

theo.: $+0.0055$ / -0.0042

PDF: $+0.0012$ / -0.0011

ZEUS:

From fit to dijet rate R_{2+1} using MBIFIT PDFs (Botje '99)

Comparison of α_s Results



H1:

$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1186 \pm 0.0059$

ZEUS:

$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1166 ^{+0.0068}_{-0.0064}$

World average

[J. Phys. G26 (2000) R27]

$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0031$

α_s vs. g(x) Determination



Input:

- jet cross sections
- PDFs





Input:

- jet cross sections
- α_s world average
- DIS cross section

HERA "standalone" QCD Test

A simultaneous QCD fit of α_s and xg(x)

Basic idea:

Use three different cross sections to disentangle α_s, g(x), q(x)

$$\sigma_{DIS} \sim q(x)$$

$$\sigma_{jet} \sim \alpha_s \cdot (c_g g(x) + c_q q(x))$$

$$\sigma_{dijet} \sim \alpha_s \cdot (c'_g g(x) + c'_q q(x))$$

Kinematic range:

- DIS x-section: $150 < Q^2 < 1000\ GeV^2$
- Jet cross section: $150 < Q^2 < 5000\ GeV^2$

Fit:

- fixed factorization scale μ_f
- systematics include experimental, scale and hadronization uncertainties



Inclusive Jets: Comparison with NLO



ZEUS preliminary

Inclusive jet cross section as a function of the jet transv. energy E_t in the Breit frame

data/theory



H1 data

Inclusive jet cross section in the Breit frame



Dijet Cross Sections





Good description of data by NLO for both experiments if $Q^2 > 150$ GeV^2

The Scale Problem

Δ dependence of R_2 for two bins of x_B and Q^2





Scale ?

$E_T^{jet1} > 5 + \Delta$ GeV & $E_T^{jet2} > 5$ GeV

Forward Jet Production in DIS



Forward Jets: E_t/Q^2 Dependence



Event selection:

- $Q^2 > 10$ GeV^2
- $y > 0.1$, $E'_e > 10$ GeV

- $\eta_{jet} < 2.6$ ($\theta_{jet} > 8.5°$)
- $E_{t,jet} > 5$ GeV

- $x_{jet} = p_{z,jet}/p_{beam} > .036$
- $p_{z,Breit} > 0$

Something in addition to standard direct γ (LO) predictions needed

resolved γ*
BFKL
...

Forward Jets & Resolved Virtual γ's



Include resolved γ^* structure in models [via photon pdf's]

"direct γ"	**ok**
"resolved γ^*"	**ok**
BFKL	**ok**

Virtual γ Structure: Dijet x-Section

Study region $Q^2 < E_t^2$







direct γ contribution too small

resolved γ^* component needed

Scale: E_t^2

Q^2 Dependence of γ^* Structure





Measure Dijet cross section for:

- "direct" part ($x_\gamma > .75$)
- "resolved part ($x_\gamma < .75$)

Plot R = "res./direct" as function of Q^2

Resolved contributions is suppressed at large Q^2

Studying the BFKL Region



Combining BFKL & DGLAP: CCFM

Jet physics

→ relevant scale: E_t^2 [?]

→ present picture:

DIS = "direct γ" + BFKL + "resolved γ"

k_t-factorization + CCFM evolution

- angular ordered parton emission
- uses unintegrated gluon density $g(x,k_t,Q^2)$
- reproduces BFKL $x\to 0$ and DGLAP for large x





Forw. Jet x-section



H. Jung et. al.

γp Dijet Cross Section





$$\sigma_{2jet} \sim f_{\gamma/e}(y)\, f^{p}_{eff}\, f^{\gamma}_{eff}\, |ME_{eff}|^2$$

$$f^{\gamma}_{eff} = f^{\gamma}_{q} + f^{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} + 9/4\, f^{\gamma}_{g}$$!!!

The Gluon Density of the Photon





$\tilde{f}_{\gamma,eff} = 9/4 g(x_\gamma) + q(x_\gamma)$

Known from LEP

g(x) rises for small x

γp Dijet x-Section @ Large E_t

Comparison of data with NLO + γ-structure

ZEUS suggests: inadequate NLO γ-Str.



Conclusion

DIS region:
$[Q^2, E_t^2$ large; $Q^2 \geq E_t^2]$

- pQCD works
- $\alpha_s \otimes g(x)$
- scale ?

Intermediate regime:
$[E_t^2 \sim Q^2]$

- scale problem
- DGLAP breakdown
- resolved γ
- BFKL, CCFM etc.

resolved γ^* region:
$[Q^2 < E_t^2]$

- concept of γ structure "ok"
- $g^\gamma(x)$ in LO
- NLO photon pdf's ?