

Multi Parton Interactions at HERA

Mara Senghi Soares

On behalf of H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

XXXVI International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics

Paraty - 2006

Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction
- Underlying event
- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection
- Comparison with Monte Carlo
- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production
- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Outline

Introduction

Multi Parton Interaction

Underlying event

HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

Event selection

Comparison with Monte Carlo

Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production



Absorption models for leading neutron production

Leading neutron production at HERA


Summary

Hard scattering

- Usual hard process qq or γq
- Partons hadronize \rightarrow form jets
- Well described by pQCD
- Diverse aspects of pQCD studied experimental data, e.g.:




Precision measurement: α_{QCD} from inclusive jets



Multi Parton Interaction

from hard processes

- . If more than one initial parton interact?
- . Two hard interactions on the event
- . May lead to formation of multi-jets



Outline

Introduction

Multi Parton Interaction

Underlying event

HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

Event selection

Comparison with Monte Carlo

Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

Absorption models for leading neutron production


Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Underlying event

Soft interaction, but closely related to MPIs

- ▶ Do not form jets
 - ▶ on the contrary, it is “everything other than jets” on the event
 - ▶ Includes: initial and final state radiation
 - ▶ Soft interactions between partons spectators and beams remnants
- ▶ Energy flow between jets
 - ▶ Measure jet pedestal



Outline

Introduction

Multi Parton Interaction

Underlying event

HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

Event selection

Comparison with Monte Carlo

Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

Absorption models for leading neutron production



Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

HERA physics


HERA collides $e^\pm p$

- ▶ e^\pm at 27.5 GeV, p at 920 GeV
- ▶ $W = \gamma p$ cms energy
- ▶ $\sqrt{s} = 320$ GeV (ep cms energy) $Q^2 = -q^2$ (photon virtuality)
- ▶ $y = W^2/s =$ momentum fraction of e carried by γ




Multi-Parton interactions at HERA

- ▶ MPIs: two colliding particles are source of partons
- ▶ in **resolved photoproduction**: “hadron-like” photon
- ▶ x_γ fraction of photon momentum in hard scattering



$$x_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \sum_i^n \frac{E_{T,i} \exp(-\eta_i)}{2yE_e}$$



Direct photon ($x_\gamma \approx 1$)

Resolved photon ($x_\gamma < 1$)

Why is it important?

At the LHC:

- ▶ Larger \sqrt{s} , can see partons at very low x
- ▶ Many interactions between spectators
- ▶ QCD must be completely under control to observe new phenomena
- ▶ HERA data good training ground for tuning models/MCs

Outline

Introduction

Multi Parton Interaction

Underlying event

HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

Event selection

Comparison with Monte Carlo

Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

Absorption models for leading neutron production

Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Event selection (121 pb⁻¹ of data)

Jets selection (LAB frame)

- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{1,2}} > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{3,4}} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $|\eta^{jet}| < 2.4$
- ▶ selected with inclusive k_T algorithm & massless

Kinematic region

- ▶ $0.2 < y < 0.85$
- ▶ $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- ▶ Two mass regions studied:
 - semi-inclusive ($M_{nj} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}$)
 - high-mass ($M_{nj} \geq 50 \text{ GeV}$)

$$M_{nj} = \sqrt{(\sum_i^n p_i)^2}$$

$$x_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \sum_i^n \frac{E_{T,i} \exp(-\eta_i)}{2yE_e}$$

Event selection (121 pb⁻¹ of data)

Jets selection (LAB frame)

- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{1,2}} > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{3,4}} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $|\eta^{jet}| < 2.4$
- ▶ selected with inclusive k_T algorithm & massless

Kinematic region

- ▶ $0.2 < y < 0.85$
- ▶ $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- ▶ Two mass regions studied:
 - semi-inclusive ($M_{nj} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}$)
 - high-mass ($M_{nj} \geq 50 \text{ GeV}$)

$$M_{nj} = \sqrt{(\sum_i^n p_i)^2}$$

$$x_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \sum_i^n \frac{E_{T,i} \exp(-\eta_i)}{2yE_e}$$

Event selection (121 pb⁻¹ of data)

Jets selection (LAB frame)

- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{1,2}} > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{3,4}} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $|\eta^{jet}| < 2.4$
- ▶ selected with inclusive k_T algorithm & massless

Kinematic region

- ▶ $0.2 < y < 0.85$
- ▶ $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- ▶ Two mass regions studied:
 - semi-inclusive ($M_{nj} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}$)
 - high-mass ($M_{nj} \geq 50 \text{ GeV}$)

$$M_{nj} = \sqrt{(\sum_i^n p_i)^2}$$

$$x_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \sum_i^n \frac{E_{T,i} \exp(-\eta_i)}{2yE_e}$$

Monte Carlo samples

- PYTHIA 6.2


- ▶ without MPI
- ▶ with MPIs from simple model, tuned to collider data (JETWEB)

- HERWIG 6.5

- ▶ without MPI
- ▶ with MPIs from JIMMY 4.0 (eikonal model), tuned to ZEUS multi-jet data

- normalization

- ▶ DATA/(MC no MPIs) at $M_{nj} > 70$ GeV



Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production



- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Comparison with Monte Carlo

Three and four-jets M_{nj} distribution



- PYTHIA MPI: excessive contribution but tuned to hadron collider data
- HERWIG MPI: good description tuned for HERA data
- No MPI for $M_{3j} > 50$ and $M_{4j} > 70$ GeV (where MC is normalized)



Comparison with Monte Carlo

Three-jets x_γ distribution



- MC no MPIs: missing component at low x_γ , low mass
- PYTHIA MPI: excessive contribution
- HERWIG MPI: good description



Comparison with Monte Carlo

Four-jets x_γ distribution

- MC no MPIs: larger missing component at low x_γ
- MPIs needed also at higher mass





Comparison with Monte Carlo

Three-jets y distribution (Similar results for 4-jets case)

>>>>>> **Here MPI models FAIL!!!** <<<<<<<

- $W = \sqrt{sy}$ (γp cms energy)
- Shapes slightly better described by MC without MPIs
- Good ground to tune/test MPI models



Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production

- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Fixed order pQCD calculation

- ▶ **Theory does NOT include MPIs**
- ▶ Only calculations up to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ are available in literature
- ▶ Lowest order for 3-jet process, not comparable to 4-jets
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_1}$ used for renormalization and factorization scales
(and varied by $\times 2$, $1/2$ to evaluate theoretical uncertainty)
- ▶ to compare with data theory convoluted with:
 - hadronisation corrections ($C_{HAD} = \frac{\sigma_{hadrons}}{\sigma_{partons}}$)
 - MPI corrections ($C_{MPI} = \frac{\sigma_{MPI}}{\sigma_{NO\ MPI}}$)

Fixed order pQCD calculation

- ▶ **Theory does NOT include MPIs**
- ▶ Only calculations up to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ are available in literature
- ▶ Lowest order for 3-jet process, not comparable to 4-jets
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_1}$ used for renormalization and factorization scales (and varied by $\times 2$, $1/2$ to evaluate theoretical uncertainty)
- ▶ to compare with data theory convoluted with:
 - hadronisation corrections ($C_{HAD} = \frac{\sigma_{hadrons}}{\sigma_{partons}}$)
 - MPI corrections ($C_{MPI} = \frac{\sigma_{MPI}}{\sigma_{NO\ MPI}}$)


Fixed order pQCD calculation

- ▶ **Theory does NOT include MPIs**
- ▶ Only calculations up to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ are available in literature
- ▶ Lowest order for 3-jet process, not comparable to 4-jets
- ▶ $E_T^{jet_1}$ used for renormalization and factorization scales (and varied by $\times 2$, $1/2$ to evaluate theoretical uncertainty)
- ▶ to compare with data theory convoluted with:
 - hadronisation corrections ($C_{HAD} = \frac{\sigma_{hadrons}}{\sigma_{partons}}$)
 - MPI corrections ($C_{MPI} = \frac{\sigma_{MPI}}{\sigma_{NO\ MPI}}$)

Comparison with theory

- Good agreement at high mass
- Possible sources of discrepancy
 - incorrect hadronization
 - incorrect MPIs modelling
- hadr. corrections: *flat*
 - unlikely to be the cause
- MPIs underestimated (??)

Higher order calculation may help



Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production

- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary


Energy flow between jets

Dijet sample (cone algorithm)

- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{1,2}} > 4 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $M_{1,2} > 12 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $-0.5 < \eta < 2.5$

Energy flow

- ▶ sum outside jets cone $R=1$
- ▶ $-1 < \eta - \eta_{jet} < 1$
- ▶ $-\pi < \phi - \phi_{jet} < \pi$



• H1 Collaboration

MC: PYTHIA with MPI

PHOJET with MPI

$$E_{T,ped} = \frac{1}{A} \sum E_T$$

Energy flow between jets


Another dijet sample

- ▶ $E_T^{jet_{1,2}} > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ $-1 \leq \eta^{jet_{1,2}} \leq 2.5$

Minimum bias (data):
 “almost there” for direct γ

Add ISR on γ side
 (g emission): not enough

Add MPIs:
 good description of the data



Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production

- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Transverse energy correlations

High- E_T sample (results unchanged when a jet is required)

$$\Omega(\eta^*) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{ev}} \frac{(\langle E_{T,\eta^*=0} \rangle - (E_{T,\eta^*=0,i}))(\langle E_{T,\eta^*} \rangle - (E_{T,\eta^*,i}))}{(E_T^2)_i}$$


- $E_T > 20$ GeV
- $-0.8 \leq \eta \leq 3.3$ (lab frame)
- $\eta^* \rightarrow \gamma p$ cms
- Ω in small η^* bins

PYTHIA without MPIs:

- too much correlation close to reference bin
- too much anti-correlation on the photon side

PYTHIA with MPIs:

- correlations well described



Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production**

- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary

Absorption in leading baryon production


- ▶ Leaving pQCD regime
→ Approach from soft physics
- ▶ Leading baryons (leading neutrons/leading protons)
 - ▶ contain characteristics of both soft and hard interactions
 - ▶ data is best described by Regge theory (essentially soft)
 - ▶ excellent ground to study the interplay between hard-soft physics

Leading neutron production at HERA

The process:

One-Pion-Exchange Model:

- ▶ $p \rightarrow \pi n$
- ▶ $\frac{d\sigma_{ep \rightarrow e'nX}}{dx_L dt} = f_{\pi/p}(x_L, t) \sigma^{e\pi}((1-x_L)s)$
- ▶ $x_L = \frac{E_n}{E_p}$




Parameters from low energy hadron collisions (soft physics)

How does it relate multi-parton interactions?

Absorption: secondary interactions

d'Alesio and Pirner, EPJ A7 (2000) 109

(resembles MPI implementation in JIMMY)




Nikolaev, Speth and Zakharov, hep-ph/9708290

How does it relate multi-parton interactions?


Absorption: secondary interactions

d'Alesio and Pirner, EPJ A7 (2000) 109

(resembles MPI implementation in JIMMY)




Nikolaev, Speth and Zakharov, hep-ph/9708290



Absorption

Kaidalov, Khoze, Martin, Ryskin, hep-ph/062215



- absorption from additional pomeron exchange
- (a)-(c) contribute to the dominant process

Similar case, different approach

Outline

Introduction

- Multi Parton Interaction

- Underlying event

- HERA physics

Three and four jet states (ZEUS Collab.)

- Event selection

- Comparison with Monte Carlo

- Comparison fixed order pQCD theory

Energy flow between jets (H1 Collab.)

- Energy flow between jets

Transverse energy correlations (H1 Collab.)

- Transverse energy correlations

Absorption in leading baryon production

- Absorption models for leading neutron production


- Leading neutron production at HERA

Summary


Leading neutrons

Photoproduction and DIS samples, $0.2 < x_L < 1$, $\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad

Fewer neutrons seen in photoproduction
ZEUS



Model: d'Alesio and Pirner
ZEUS




data in agreement with absorption hypothesis

Leading neutrons

Photoproduction sample, $0.2 < x_L < 1$, $\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad



Model: Kaidalov, Khoze, Martin, Ryskin
ZEUS



data in agreement with absorption hypothesis

Leading Neutrons - ratios dijets+n/inclusive dijets

Dijet photoproduction sample, $0.488 < x_L < 1$, $\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad



- ▶ Neutron production suppressed in resolved-photon enriched region
- ▶ Shape well described by RAPGAP (π -exchange MC) and PYTHIA-MPI

Summary

Results from Multi-Jets (3 and 4 jets photoproduction)

- ▶ First measurement of 4-jets in γp at HERA is presented
- ▶ pQCD alone (LO matrix elements + parton shower) not able to describe the data (3 and 4 jets)
 - ▶ Additional component missing at low M_{nj} and low x_γ^{OBS}
- ▶ Data much better described by MC+tuned MPIs
 - ▶ HOWEVER, introduction of MPI in MCs spoils good description of the y distribution
 - ▶ $d\sigma/dy$ is a good quantity for tuning/testing MPI models
 - ▶ MPI may be not the only missing component
- ▶ Data is ahead of theory
 - ▶ Higher order pQCD calculations would contribute

Summary

Results from Multi-Jets (3 and 4 jets photoproduction)

- ▶ First measurement of 4-jets in γp at HERA is presented
- ▶ pQCD alone (LO matrix elements + parton shower) not able to describe the data (3 and 4 jets)
 - ▶ Additional component missing at low M_{nj} and low x_γ^{OBS}
- ▶ Data much better described by MC+tuned MPIs
 - ▶ HOWEVER, introduction of MPI in MCs spoils good description of the y distribution
 - ▶ $d\sigma/dy$ is a good quantity for tuning/testing MPI models
 - ▶ MPI may be not the only missing component
- ▶ Data is ahead of theory
 - ▶ Higher order pQCD calculations would contribute

Summary

Results from Multi-Jets (3 and 4 jets photoproduction)

- ▶ First measurement of 4-jets in γp at HERA is presented
- ▶ pQCD alone (LO matrix elements + parton shower) not able to describe the data (3 and 4 jets)
 - ▶ Additional component missing at low M_{nj} and low x_γ^{OBS}
- ▶ Data much better described by MC+tuned MPIs
 - ▶ HOWEVER, introduction of MPI in MCs spoils good description of the y distribution
 - ▶ $d\sigma/dy$ is a good quantity for tuning/testing MPI models
 - ▶ MPI may be not the only missing component
- ▶ Data is ahead of theory
 - ▶ Higher order pQCD calculations would contribute

Summary

Results from Multi-Jets (3 and 4 jets photoproduction)

- ▶ First measurement of 4-jets in γp at HERA is presented
- ▶ pQCD alone (LO matrix elements + parton shower) not able to describe the data (3 and 4 jets)
 - ▶ Additional component missing at low M_{nj} and low x_γ^{OBS}
- ▶ Data much better described by MC+tuned MPIs
 - ▶ HOWEVER, introduction of MPI in MCs spoils good description of the y distribution
 - ▶ $d\sigma/dy$ is a good quantity for tuning/testing MPI models
 - ▶ MPI may be not the only missing component
- ▶ Data is ahead of theory
 - ▶ Higher order pQCD calculations would contribute

Summary

Results from energy flow between jets and transverse energy correlations

- ▶ In dijet events, energy measured outside of cone jets ($E_T^{jets} > 4 \text{ GeV}$) is as high as 1.4 GeV
 - ▶ Effect caused by soft multi-parton interactions
 - ▶ Results in agreement with PYTHIA and PHOJET MPI's
- ▶ Transverse energy correlations studied
 - ▶ A big amount of uncorrelated energy need to be added to describe the data
 - ▶ Similar results when jet is required

Summary

Results from energy flow between jets and transverse energy correlations

- ▶ In dijet events, energy measured outside of cone jets ($E_T^{jets} > 4$ GeV) is as high as 1.4 GeV
 - ▶ Effect caused by soft multi-parton interactions
 - ▶ Results in agreement with PYTHIA and PHOJET MPI's
- ▶ Transverse energy correlations studied
 - ▶ A big amount of uncorrelated energy need to be added to describe the data
 - ▶ Similar results when jet is required

Summary

Results from leading neutron

- ▶ Coming from soft-physics approach
 - ▶ Pion-exchange gives a good description of data...
 - ▶ ... provided that secondary interactions are included
- ▶ Effects of absorption via additional pomeron-exchange was quantified
- ▶ Absorption is necessary for a good description of the data

Summary

Results from leading neutron

- ▶ Coming from soft-physics approach
 - ▶ Pion-exchange gives a good description of data...
 - ▶ ... provided that secondary interactions are included

- ▶ Effects of absorption via additional pomeron-exchange was quantified
- ▶ Absorption is necessary for a good description of the data

Conclusion

- ▶ MPIs and underlying event must be understood and quantified for they are expected to play an important role on LHC
- ▶ We looked at Multi Parton Interactions from the very hard (multi-jets) to the quasi-soft (leading baryon) regime processes at HERA
- ▶ HERA provides good quality data to help understanding the problem